



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)  
DfW Jan-06

LAW OFFICE OF JOHN L. ISAAC  
7114 W. JEFFERSON AVE.  
SUITE 100  
LAKEWOOD CO 80235-2309

**COPY MAILED**

**JAN 10 2006**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of :  
Larry David :  
Application No. 10/686,080 : DECISION ON PETITION  
Filed: 15 October, 2003 :  
Attorney Docket No. 7147.02.01 :

This is a decision on the petition filed on 26 September, 2005, under 37 CFR 1.137(b),<sup>1</sup> to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned on 22 May, 2005, for failure to timely file a response to the Office action in accordance with *Ex parte Quayle*<sup>2</sup>, mailed on 21 March, 2005, which set a two (2) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained.

---

<sup>1</sup> Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continuing examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application, abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

<sup>2</sup> 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213

The filing of the present petition precedes the mailing of Notice of Abandonment.

Petitioner's reply filed on 26 September, 2005, has been deemed to place this case in condition for allowance.

Receipt of the request for change of correspondence address is acknowledged.

The application file is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 3711 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D Wood", is positioned above the printed name.

Douglas I. Wood  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions